TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Document | File Name |
|----|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| | | |
| 00 | Cover page | 00 tolylfluanid cover.doc |
| 01 | All comments received on the DAR | 01 tolylfluanid all comments.doc |
| 02 | Reporting table all sections | 02 tolylfluanid rep table rev1-2.doc |
| 03 | All reports from EPCO Expert Meetings | 03 tolylfluanid all reports.doc |
| 04 | Evaluation table | 04 tolylfluanid eval table rev1-1.doc |

1. Physical and chemical Properties

| No. | Column A Conclusions of the EFSA Evaluation Meeting Section 1 | Column B Comments from the main data submitter / applicant on the EFSA Evaluation Meeting conclusion | Column C Rapporteur Member State comments on main data submitter / applicant comments | Column D Recommendations EPCO Expert Meeting/ Conclusions of the Evaluation Meeting Section 1 |
|-----|---|--|---|--|
| | Data requirements: 2 Open points: 4 | | | Data requirements: 2 Open points: 4 |
| 1.1 | Notifier to submit new study on analytical profile of batches (taking into account specification and validation of analytical methods for impurities) (Vol. 4, C.1.3.11 – see reporting table 1(1)) | New analytical data out of production control will be submitted in May. | 30.4.2004 Notifier will submit a new study on analytical profile of batches (taking into account specification and validation of analytical methods for impurities) in May. Acceptable. Data have to be awaited. 08.11.2004 New study on analytical profile of batches (taking into account specification and validation of analytical methods for impurities) was submitted and acceptably validated. Data is presented in Addendum 5. Data requirement fulfilled. | EPCO 6 (1516.06.2004): Data requirement still open. A new study on analytical profile of batches (incl. a proper identification of the impurities, e.g. by MS or NMR) using validated analytical method(s). Evaluation Meeting (0910.02.2005): Study has been submitted and evaluated by the RMS in an addendum. RMS and EFSA are of the opinion that this data requirement is fulfilled. In the EFSA conclusion it will be highlighted that this issue has not been peer reviewed. Data requirement fulfilled. |
| 1.2 | Confirmatory method for the | Further identification of impurities will | 30.4.2004 | EPCO 6 (1516.06.2004): |

| | Column A | Column B | Column C | Column D |
|-----|--|-----------------------------------|--|--|
| No. | Conclusions of the EFSA | Comments from the main data | Rapporteur Member State comments | Recommendations EPCO Expert Meeting/ |
| | Evaluation Meeting | submitter / applicant on the EFSA | on main data submitter / applicant | Conclusions of the Evaluation Meeting |
| | | Evaluation Meeting conclusion | comments | |
| | impurities is required. | be submitted in May. | Notifier will submit a confirmatory | |
| | (Vol. 4, C1.4 – see reporting | | method for the impurities in May. | Data requirement can be covered by the |
| | table 1(5)) | | Acceptable. Data have to be awaited. | data requirement 1.1. |
| | | | <u>08.11.2004</u> | |
| | | | The submitted HPLC-DAD- method is | |
| | | | regarded as highly specific (guideline). | Evaluation Meeting (0910.02.2005): |
| | | | Confirmatory method is not necessary. | |
| | | | | Study has been submitted and evaluated |
| | | | | by the RMS in an addendum. |
| | | | | RMS and EFSA are the opinion that this |
| | | | | data requirement is fulfilled. |
| | | | | In the EFSA conclusion it will be |
| | | | | highlighted that this issue has not been |
| | | | | peer reviewed. |
| | | | | |
| | | | | Data requirement fulfilled. |
| | | | | |
| | Ou are maint 4 Or | | 00.44.0004 | EDOO 0 (45, 40 00 0004); |
| | Open point 1.2: | | 08.11.2004 | EPCO 6 (1516.06.2004): |
| | Depending on the new study | | See point 1.2: Confirmatory method is | |
| | required in 1.1 a confirmatory | | not necessary, because the submitted | Open point still open. |
| | method is maybe necessary | | HPLC-DAD- method is highly specific. | |
| | to demonstrate the specificity of the analytical method. | | Open point fulfilled. | Evaluation Meeting (0910.02.2005): |
| | 1 | | | |
| | This new open point was proposed at the EPCO 6 | | | Open point fulfilled. |
| | Meeting. | | | - r - r |
| | iviceting. | | | |
| | | | | |

| No. | Column A Conclusions of the EFSA Evaluation Meeting | Column B Comments from the main data submitter / applicant on the EFSA Evaluation Meeting conclusion | Column C Rapporteur Member State comments on main data submitter / applicant comments | Column D Recommendations EPCO Expert Meeting/ Conclusions of the Evaluation Meeting |
|-----|--|---|--|---|
| 1.3 | Notifier to submit the new validation data for DFG S 19 – method. (Vol. 3, B.5.3.1 - see reporting table 1(12)) | Report on new validation data for DFG S 19-method will be finalised end of April or - at the latest - in May. | 30.4.2004 Notifier will submit a report on new validation data for DFG S 19-method in May. Acceptable. Data have to be awaited. 08.11.2004 See Post-meeting note below. | EPCO 6 (1516.06.2004): Data requirement fulfilled. |
| | Post-meeting note after EPCO 6 from the RMS regarding data requirement 1.3: The submitted method (in addendum 3) was valid for the determination of residues of tolylfluanid in soil. | | O8.11.2004 The submitted method (presented in addendum 3) was valid for the determination of residues of tolylfluanid in soil. The submitted method (presented in addendum 5) was valid for the determination of residues of DMST metabolite in soil. Data requirement fulfilled. | |

| No | Open point 1.1: The acceptability of surface water as surrogate for | Column B Comments from the main data submitter / applicant on the EFSA Evaluation Meeting conclusion Clarification on the nature of the problem concerning the analysis of tolylfluanid in drinking water is still | Column C Rapporteur Member State comments on main data submitter / applicant comments 30.4.2004 Additional background information on comments by NL is awaited. | Column D Recommendations EPCO Expert Meeting/ Conclusions of the Evaluation Meeting EPCO 6 (1516.06.2004): This open point has been changed into |
|-----|--|--|--|---|
| | drinking water for this certain compound to be discussed in the expert meeting. NL to provide additional background information. (Vol. 3, B5.3.2 - see reporting table 1(14)) | ongoing. Once this has been accomplished, Bayer CropScience (BCS) will either submit a statement on how to overcome these problems or develop a new method. | Acceptable. Data have to be awaited. 08.11.2004 See point 1.4 | data requirement 1.4. |
| 1.4 | A study for the determination of residues of tolylfluanid in drinking water (taking the potential problems of the analysis of tolylfluanid into consideration). This new data requirement was proposed at the EPCO 6 Meeting. | | O8.11.2004 The new residue method for water was acceptably validated for both surface and drinking water. Data presented in Addendum 5. Data requirement fulfilled | EPCO 6 (1516.06.2004): Data requirement still open. Evaluation Meeting (0910.02.2005): Data has been submitted and evaluated by the RMS in an addendum. RMS and EFSA are the opinion that this Data requirement is fulfilled. In the EFSA conclusion it will be highlighted that this issue has not been peer reviewed. Data requirement fulfilled. |

| | Column A | Column B | Column C | Column D |
|-----|---|---|---|---|
| No. | Conclusions of the EFSA Evaluation Meeting | Comments from the main data submitter / applicant on the EFSA Evaluation Meeting conclusion | Rapporteur Member State comments on main data submitter / applicant comments | Recommendations EPCO Expert Meeting/ Conclusions of the Evaluation Meeting |
| | Open point 1.3: RMS to revise list of representative uses: The table for the representative uses as stated in EPCO manual E4 should be used. This new open point was proposed at the EPCO 6 Meeting. | | 08.11.2004 List of representative uses revised. Open point fulfilled. | EPCO 6 (1516.06.2004): Open point still open. Evaluation Meeting (0910.02.2005): Open point fulfilled. |
| | Open point 1.4: RMS to revise the list of end points: Page 2 relative density has no unit. In this case the value for density was included and not for relative density. Therefore, this should be amended in the list of end points. This new open point was proposed in the EPCO 6 Meeting. | | The list of end points revised. 08.11.2004 The list of end points revised. Open point fulfilled. | EPCO 6 (1516.06.2004): Open point still open. Evaluation Meeting (0910.02.2005): Open point fulfilled. |

| No. | Column A Conclusions of the EFSA Evaluation Meeting | Column B Comments from the main data submitter / applicant on the EFSA Evaluation Meeting conclusion | Column C Rapporteur Member State comments on main data submitter / applicant comments | Column D Recommendations EPCO Expert Meeting/ Conclusions of the Evaluation Meeting |
|-----|---|--|---|---|
| | Open point 1.5: RMS to revise the list of end points: FAO specifications The value of 960 g/kg +/- 20 should be mentioned. | | 17.06.2004 The list of end points revised. 08.11.2004 The list of end points revised. Open point fulfilled. | EPCO 6 (1516.06.2004): Open point still open. Evaluation Meeting (0910.02.2005): Open point fulfilled. |

2. Mammalian Toxicology

| No. | Column A Conclusions of the EFSA Evaluation Meeting | Column B Comments from the main data submitter / applicant on the EFSA Evaluation Meeting conclusion | Column C Rapporteur Member State comments on main data submitter / applicant comments | Column D Recommendations EPCO Expert Meeting/ Conclusions of the Evaluation Meeting |
|-----|---|--|--|--|
| | Section 2 Data requirements: 1 Open points: 5 | | | Section 2 Data requirements: 1 Open points: 5 |
| | Open point 2.1: The setting of NOAEL for short-term toxicity studies needs to be discussed in an expert meeting. (Vol. 3, B.6.3.2.2 - see reporting table 2(2)) | - | 8.11.2004 See Addendum 4 from 22 June 2004 | EPCO 9 (0607.07.2004): Open point fulfilled. The overall NOAEL for the short term toxicity is 33 mg/kg bw/d for the 13-week dog study. |
| | Open point 2.2: The relevance of fluoride incorporation in bones needs to be discussed in an expert meeting. (Vol. 3, B.6.3.2.5 - see reporting table 2(4)) | BCS statement on relevance of fluoride incorporation in bones is found in 'Assessment of fluoride uptake after prolonged administration of tolylfluanid' by K.G. Heimann, report no MO-02-009943 of 2000-04-04, revised, 2002-07-17 as well as in the dossier. | 6.5.2004 The question will be addressed in an Addendum to the DAR, and later discussed at an expert meeting. 8.11.2004 See Addendum 4 from 22 June 2004 | EPCO 9 (0607.07.2004): Open point fulfilled. For the one-year dog study the NOAEL for fluoride incorporation in bones and teeth is 80 mg/kg bw/d, the NOEL is 20 mg/kg bw/d. |
| | Open point 2.3: RMS to revise the List of Endpoints regarding the "Lowest relevant inhalation NOAEC / NOEC". (see reporting table 2(6)) | - | | EPCO 9 (0607.07.2004): Open point fulfilled. |

| No. | Column A Conclusions of the EFSA Evaluation Meeting | Column B Comments from the main data submitter / applicant on the EFSA Evaluation Meeting conclusion | Column C Rapporteur Member State comments on main data submitter / applicant comments | Column D Recommendations EPCO Expert Meeting/ Conclusions of the Evaluation Meeting |
|-----|---|---|---|--|
| | Open point 2.4: The NOAELs from long-term toxicity and carcinogenicity studies and the relevance of fluoride incorporation in bones needs to be discussed in an expert meeting. (Vol. 3, B.6.5.3 - see reporting table 2(12)) | BCS statement on carcinogenicity is found in 'Tolylfluanid – Thyroid effects' by K. G. Heimann report no MO-00-006460 of 2000-01-24 as well as in the dossier. BCS statement on relevance of fluoride incorporation in bones is found in 'Assessment of fluoride uptake after prolonged administration of tolylfluanid' by K.G. Heimann, report no MO-02-009943 of 2000-04-04, revised, 2002-07-17 as well as in the dossier | 6.5.2004 The question will be addressed in an Addendum to the DAR, and later discussed at the expert meeting. 8.11.2004 See Addendum 4 from 22 June 2004 | EPCO 9 (0607.07.2004): Open point fulfilled. The meeting agreed with the proposed NOAEL of 300 ppm (18 mg/kg bw/d) for the 2-year rat study. |
| | Open point 2.5: RMS to evaluate the new 2- generation study until the expert meeting. The relevant NOAEL in 2- generation reproduction rat study needs to be discussed in an expert meeting. (see reporting table 2(14)) | _ | 8.11.2004 See Addendum 4 from 22 June 2004 | EPCO 9 (0607.07.2004): Open point fulfilled. proposed NOEL is 200 ppm = 12 mg/kg bw/d. This NOEL is valid for systemic toxicity as well as for reproduction toxicity. See new open point 2.14 |
| | Open point 2.6: RMS to evaluate the statement of the notifier, regarding the relevance of the three plant metabolites (WAK6550, WAK6676 and WAK6698), in an addendum. (Vol. 3, B.6.8 – see reporting table 2(15)) | - | 8.11.2004 See Addendum 4 from 22 June 2004 | EPCO 9 (0607.07.2004): Open point fulfilled. All three plant metabolites are of low toxicological concern based on acute oral and in vitro genotoxicity data. Re-entry exposure is significantly lower than for the parent compound. |

| | Column A | Column B | Column C | Column D |
|-----|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|---|
| No. | Conclusions of the EFSA | Comments from the main data | Rapporteur Member State comments | Recommendations EPCO Expert Meeting/ |
| | Evaluation Meeting | submitter / applicant on the EFSA | on main data submitter / applicant | Conclusions of the Evaluation Meeting |
| | | Evaluation Meeting conclusion | comments | |
| | Open point 2.7: | - | | EPCO 9 (0607.07.2004): |
| | RMS to revise the list of end | | | Open point fulfilled. |
| | points regarding the last | | | Sport point railings. |
| | sentence relating to | | | |
| | neurotoxicity. | | | |
| | (see reporting table 2(16)) | | | |
| | (See reporting table 2(10)) | | | |
| | | | | |
| | Open point 2.8: | BCS proposal: ADI of 0.3 mg/kg | 6.5.2004 | EPCO 9 (0607.07.2004): |
| | The setting of the ADI needs | bw/day based on the new two- | The new 2-generation reproduction | Open point fulfilled. |
| | to be discussed in an expert | generation study. | toxicity study in rat will be evaluated | |
| | meeting. | | and presented in an Addendum to the | The greating a consent to take the NOATI |
| | (Vol. 3, B.6.10.4 - see | | DAR. The question of setting the ADI | The meeting agreed to take the NOAEL |
| | reporting table 2(17)) | | will be later discussed at the expert | from the new multigeneration rat study as |
| | | | meeting. | basis for ADI of 0.1 mg/kg bw. |
| | | | 8.11.2004 | |
| | | | | See new open point 2.15 |
| | | | See Addendum 4 from 22 June 2004 | ' ' |
| | | | | |
| | Open point 2.9: | - | | EPCO 9 (0607.07.2004): |
| | RMS to amend the List of | | | Open point fulfilled. |
| | Endpoints concerning the rate | | | |
| | and extent of absorption | | | |
| | (Over 90% within 48 h, based | | | |
| | on urinary and biliary excretion) | | | |
| | (Vol 3, B.6.10.4 - see | | | |
| | reporting table 2(19)) | | | |
| | Teporting table 2(19)) | | | |
| | | | | |

| No. | Column A Conclusions of the EFSA Evaluation Meeting Open point 2.10: | Column B Comments from the main data submitter / applicant on the EFSA Evaluation Meeting conclusion For the setting of the AOEL for | Column C Rapporteur Member State comments on main data submitter / applicant comments 6.5,2004 | Column D Recommendations EPCO Expert Meeting/ Conclusions of the Evaluation Meeting EPCO 9 (0607.07.2004): |
|-----|---|--|--|--|
| | The setting of the AOEL needs to be discussed in an expert meeting. (Vol 3, B.6.10.4 - see reporting table 2(20)) | tolylfluanid, BCS is of the opinion, that subchronic studies with dog as test species are relevant (NOAEL in dogs, subchronic, = 33 mg/kg bw/day; AOEL = 0.33 mg/kg bw/day). | RMS agrees with Notifier's original proposal which is also presented in the current DAR version. The issue is to be discussed at an expert meeting. | Open point fulfilled. Proposed AOEL of 0.3 mg/kg bw/d was accepted by experts. |
| | Open point 2.11: The setting of the ARfD needs to be discussed in an expert meeting. (see reporting table 2(23)) | Based on the results of toxicological studies, the short-term dietary intake of tolylfluanid residues is not considered to present a risk to consumers by BCS. Furthermore, the malformation seen in the teratogenicity study rabbit are common and can occur spontaneously. There are clear hints of maternal toxicity (bw gain/food consumption decreased; hepatotoxicity). Therefore, the NOAEL of this study is not appropriate to set an ARfD. Thus, BCS does not consider it necessary to establish an ARfD. | 6.5.2004 The question of setting the ARfD is to be discussed at an expert meeting, based also on data from a new 2-generation reproduction toxicity study in rats. | EPCO 9 (0607.07.2004): Open point fulfilled Proposal: ARfD of 0.25 mg/kg bw based on developmental toxicity study in rabbits using a safety factor of 100. See new open point 2.16 |
| 2.1 | Notifier to present additional data on the <i>in vivo</i> study in rat. (Vol. 3, B.6.12.4 - see reporting table 2(24)) | Information was supplied to RMS. | 6.5.2004 The data on excretion kinetics in the rat in vivo dermal absorption study will be considered in an Addendum to the DAR. A new proposal for the potential dermal absorption in humans will be presented | EPCO 9 (0607.07.2004): Data requirement fulfilled. |

| No. | Column A Conclusions of the EFSA Evaluation Meeting | Column B Comments from the main data submitter / applicant on the EFSA Evaluation Meeting conclusion | Column C Rapporteur Member State comments on main data submitter / applicant comments | Column D Recommendations EPCO Expert Meeting/ Conclusions of the Evaluation Meeting |
|-----|--|---|---|--|
| 2.1 | continued Notifier to present additional data on the <i>in vivo</i> study in rat. (Vol. 3, B.6.12.4 - see reporting table 2(24)) | | 8.11.2004 See Addendum 4 from 22 June 2004. | |
| | Open point 2.12: The estimation of dermal absorption needs to be discussed in an expert meeting. (Vol. 3, B.6.12.4 - see reporting table 2(24)) | BCS statement on the estimation of dermal absorption is found in 'Tolylfluanid – Response to RMS Finland on Member States comments to the Draft Assessment Report, Section 2 – Mammalian toxicology (B.6)' by H. Wicke, report no. MR-185/03 of 2003-11-19. | 6.5.2004 A new proposal for the potential dermal absorption in humans will be presented in an Addendum to the DAR. 8.11.2004 See Addendum 4 from 22 June 2004. | EPCO 9 (0607.07.2004): Open point fulfilled. The proposal for the dermal absorption is 5 %. for the concentrate and 7 % for the 1:100 dilution. See new open point 2.17 |
| | Open point 2.13: RMS to amend the DAR or to provide an addendum, regarding the measurement of operator exposure, to add more details of study design, methodology and GLP-issues. (Vol. 3, B.6.14.1 see reporting table 2(28)) | - | 8.11.2004 Data will be presented in Addendum 5 | EPCO 9 (0607.07.2004): Open point still open RMS to provide more detailed information in an addendum. The estimations of operator and bystander exposure have to be recalculated using the new dermal absorption figures proposed during this meeting. Evaluation Meeting (0910.02.2005): Data has been provided in an addendum. Open point fulfilled. |

| No. | Column A Conclusions of the EFSA Evaluation Meeting | Column B Comments from the main data submitter / applicant on the EFSA Evaluation Meeting conclusion | Column C Rapporteur Member State comments on main data submitter / applicant comments | Column D Recommendations EPCO Expert Meeting/ Conclusions of the Evaluation Meeting |
|-----|--|--|--|---|
| | continued Open point 2.13: RMS to amend the DAR or to provide an addendum, regarding the measurement of operator exposure, to add more details of study design, methodology and GLP-issues. (Vol. 3, B.6.14.1 see reporting table 2(28)) | | | In the EFSA conclusion it will be highlighted that this addendum has not been peer reviewed. |
| 2.2 | Notifier to submit additional data on worker exposure. (Vol. 3, B.6.14.4 - see reporting table 2(32)) | With respect to the potential accumulation of DFR, a new residue report is available: 'Residues of tolylfluanid on grass after spray application of Euparen M WG 50' by R. Barfknecht, report no. BAR/FS 012 of 2003-06-02. Furthermore, an assessment by BCS is found in 'Tolylfluanid – Response to RMS Finland on Member States comments to the Draft Assessment Report, Section 2 – Mammalian toxicology (B.6)' by H. Wicke, report no. MR-185/03 of 2003-11-19. | 6.5.2004 The issue of accumulation of dry residues is going to be addressed in an Addendum to the DAR. Re-calculations of operator, worker and bystander exposure is suggested to be performed after expert discussions on setting the AOEL and dermal absorption values. 8.11.2004 See Addendum 4 from 22 June 2004. | EPCO 9 (0607.07.2004): Data requirement fulfilled. The worker exposure (for re-entry workers) is acceptable, even without wearing PPE it is below the AOEL. |

| | Column A | Column B | Column C | Column D |
|-----|---|--|---|---|
| No. | Conclusions of the EFSA | Comments from the main data | Rapporteur Member State comments | Recommendations EPCO Expert Meeting/ |
| | Evaluation Meeting | submitter / applicant on the EFSA Evaluation Meeting conclusion | on main data submitter / applicant comments | Conclusions of the Evaluation Meeting |
| 2.3 | Notifier to submit the ongoing study on the toxicological significance of different impurities. (Vol. 1, 2.1.4 – see reporting | Assessment of the toxicological significance of different impurities will be available in May. | 6.5.2004 The data on impurities will be assessed when received and the results presented in an Addendum to the DAR. | EPCO 9 (0607.07.2004): Data requirement still open. RMS to present the evaluation of the data in an addendum. |
| | table 2(36)) | | 8.11.2004 | Data has been submitted, but not yet |
| | | | The data has been evaluated. | evaluated. The data package contains only |
| | | | Toxicological studies and structure- active analyses using DEREK indicate that the toxicological significance of the impurities and the parent substance are similar. | DMST, no data is available for the other two impurities. The notifier stated that due to the similarity to the active substance no further data is necessary. If this is reliable no further data is necessary. |
| | | | The evaluation is presented in Addendum 5, Volume 4, Annex C, and is therefore only available from RMS or | Evaluation Meeting (0910.02.2005): |
| | | | EFSA. | Data has been evaluated by the RMS in an addendum. |
| | | | | RMS is on the opinion that the data requirement is fulfilled. |
| | | | | In the EFSA conclusion it will be highlighted that this issue has not been peer reviewed. |
| | | | | Data requirement fulfilled. |

| No. | Column A Conclusions of the EFSA Evaluation Meeting | Column B Comments from the main data submitter / applicant on the EFSA Evaluation Meeting conclusion | Column C Rapporteur Member State comments on main data submitter / applicant comments | Column D Recommendations EPCO Expert Meeting/ Conclusions of the Evaluation Meeting |
|-----|---|---|--|--|
| 2.4 | A new chromosome aberration study <i>in vivo</i> in rodents (OECD Test Guideline 475) is required using relevant dose levels and with three sampling times between 6 and 48 h after dosage. (AII, B.5.4 see reporting table at the end of section 2) | Report on new chromosome aberration study <i>in vivo</i> in rodents will be submitted in April or - at the latest - in May. | 6.5.2004 The study has been received. The results will be presented in an Addendum after evaluation of data. 8.11.2004 See Addendum 4 from 22 June 2004. | EPCO 9 (0607.07.2004): Data requirement fulfilled. The experts considered the study as acceptable, at the tested dose no <i>in vivo</i> genotoxicity was observed. |
| 2.5 | More data on possible reports of clinical cases, poisoning incidents, exposure of the general population and epidemiological studies must be supplied by doing extensive literature searches. Data on search terms and sources used in the literature searches must be reported. (AII, B.5.9 see reporting table at the end of section 2) | Document on literature search will be available in May. | 6.5.2004 The data pertaining to data requirement point AII, B.5.9 will be evaluated when received and included in an Addendum to the DAR. 8.11.2004 See Addendum 4 from 22 June 2004. | EPCO 9 (0607.07.2004): Data requirement fulfilled. No cases of intoxication have been reported in literature. |
| | New open point 2.14: RMS to amend the list of end point regarding the NOAEL of 200 ppm (12 mg/kg bw/d) for systemic toxicity as well as for reproduction toxicity. New open point was proposed in the EPCO 9 meeting. | | 8.11.2004 List of end points has been amended. | EPCO 9 (0607.07.2004): Open point still open. Evaluation Meeting (0910.02.2005): Open point fulfilled. |

| | Column A | Column B | Column C | Column D |
|-----|---|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| No. | Conclusions of the EFSA | Comments from the main data | Rapporteur Member State comments | Recommendations EPCO Expert Meeting/ |
| | Evaluation Meeting | submitter / applicant on the EFSA | on main data submitter / applicant | Conclusions of the Evaluation Meeting |
| | | Evaluation Meeting conclusion | comments | |
| | New open point 2.15 | | 8.11.2004 | EPCO 9 (0607.07.2004): |
| | RMS to amend the list of end | | List of end points has been amended. | Open point still open. |
| | points regarding the ADI of | | | |
| | 0.1 mg/kg bw. | | | Evaluation Meeting (0910.02.2005): |
| | New open point was proposed in the EPCO 9 meeting. | | | |
| | | | | Open point fulfilled. |
| | | | | |
| | New open point 2.16 | | 8.11.2004 | EPCO 9 (0607.07.2004): |
| | RMS to amend the list of end | | List of end points has been amended. | Open point still open. |
| | points: ARfD of 0.25 mg/kg | | | |
| | bw based on developmental | | | Evaluation Meeting (0910.02.2005): |
| | toxicity study in rabbits using a safety factor of 100. | | | |
| | | | | Open point fulfilled. |
| | New open point was proposed in the EPCO 9 meeting. | | | |
| | in the Er CO 9 meeting. | | | |
| | New open point 2.17 | | 8.11.2004 | EPCO 9 (0607.07.2004): |
| | RMS to amend the list of end | | List of end points has been amended. | Open point still open. |
| | points: dermal absorption is 5 | | | |
| | %. for the concentrate and 7 | | | Evaluation Meeting (0910.02.2005): |
| | % for the 1:100 dilution. | | | |
| | New open point was proposed | | | Open point fulfilled. |
| | in the EPCO 9 meeting. | | | |
| | | | | |

3. Residues

| No. | Column A Conclusions of the EFSA Evaluation Meeting Section 3 Data requirements: 0 Open points: 4 | Column B Comments from the main data submitter / applicant on the EFSA Evaluation Meeting conclusion | Column C Rapporteur Member State comments on main data submitter / applicant comments | Column D Recommendations EPCO-Peer Review Meeting / Conclusions of the Evaluation Meeting Section 3 Data requirements: 0 Open points: 4 |
|-----|--|--|--|---|
| | Open point 3.1: RMS to provide an addendum to the draft assessment report concerning the relevance of DMST as residue in animal's products. Subsequently the necessity of setting of a residue definition for animal products needs to be discussed in the residue expert. (Vol. 3, B.7.3 - see reporting table 3(3)) | Relevance of DMST as residue in animal's products is addressed in the dossier: All, 6.4.1, page 136ff. | DMST occurred mainly in the fat of the treated goat. The highest level of DMST in fat (out of three analyses) was 0.26 mg/kg in a metabolism study with a dose level of 10 mg/kg bw/day. As the realistic intake levels for tolylfluanid in goat through feed are expected to be about 45 times lower than in the metabolism study, the expected level of DMST in fat in a feeding study would be 0.006 mg/kg. This level may be considered low, and does not indicate accumulation of DMST in fat of goats. An Addendum addressing this issue will be provided before the expert meeting. | EPCO 10 (0607.07.2004): Open point fulfilled. For products of animal origin only DMST should be relevant to enclose in the residue definition for monitoring and risk assessment. For beef cattle the residues in feeding item are above the trigger value, but no residues are expected in products of animal origin on the basis of the representative uses. The meeting agrees that for future developments methods of analysis for DMST in products of animal origin and a feeding study may be required. |

| No. | Column A Conclusions of the EFSA Evaluation Meeting | Column B Comments from the main data submitter / applicant on the EFSA Evaluation Meeting conclusion | Column C Rapporteur Member State comments on main data submitter / applicant comments | Column D Recommendations EPCO-Peer Review Meeting / Conclusions of the Evaluation Meeting |
|-----|---|--|---|--|
| | Open point 3.2 (See open points 2.6 and 2.9) RMS to provide a revised intake calculation if ADI should be changed. (Vol. 3, B.7.16.1 - see reporting table 3(29)) | - | 6.5.2004 Comment depends on the expert meeting (tox) decision on the ADI 8.11.2004 A revised intake calculation is presented in Addendum to tolylfluanid. | EPCO 10 (0607.07.2004): Open point still open. Evaluation Meeting (0910.02.2005): Open point fulfilled. |
| | Open point 3.3 (See open point 2.1) RMS to provide an acute risk assessment if an ARfD should be derived. (Vol. 3, B.7.16.2 - see reporting table 3(30)) | - | 6.5.2004 Comment depends on the expert meeting (tox) decision on the ARfD 8.11.2004 An acute risk assessment is presented in Addendum to tolylfluanid | EPCO 10 (0607.07.2004): Open point still open. Evaluation Meeting (0910.02.2005): Open point fulfilled. |
| | Open point 3.4: The parent compound is degraded rapidly and therefore DMST should be included in the plant residue definition for monitoring purposes. This point should then be discussed in an expert meeting. (see reporting table at the end of section 3) | For reasons given briefly below, BCS is not of the opinion that DMST needs to be included in the plant residue definition for monitoring purposes (for more detail see dossier: AII; 6.7.1, pages 173 -175) The residue definition of tolylfluanid alone for monitoring purposes is in good accordance with guideline requirements. The definition is justified based on data from toxicology and | In plant metabolism studies the amounts of DMST were 0.04 – 1.13 mg/kg, corresponding to 3.4 – 14.9 % of TRR. Highest amounts were found in metabolism study with strawberries, 14.9 % of TRR. According to residue trials, the amount of DMST was significantly less than of tolylfluanid in all other crops except melons and strawberries. The residues | EPCO 10 (0607.07.2004): Open point fulfilled. The meeting proposed to change the residue definition for monitoring in plant that way that DMST has to be taken into account as sum of tolylfluanid and DMST expressed as tolylfluanid. |

| | Column A | Column B | Column C | Column D |
|-----|---|---|---|--|
| No. | Conclusions of the EFSA Evaluation Meeting | Comments from the main data submitter / applicant on the EFSA Evaluation Meeting conclusion | Rapporteur Member State comments on main data submitter / applicant comments | Recommendations EPCO-Peer Review Meeting / Conclusions of the Evaluation Meeting |
| | continued Open point 3.4: The parent compound is degraded rapidly and therefore DMST should be included in the plant residue definition for monitoring purposes. This point should then be discussed in an expert meeting. | metabolism studies and is most convenient for the practical handling in monitoring laboratories. The amount of tolylfluanid residues found in/on MRL relevant commodities is sufficient for analysis purposes (e.g. STMRs range from 0.37 - 3.74 mg/kg for 10 of 12 crops/crop groups supported in All, chapter 6; additionally there are melons with an STMR of 0.05 mg/kg, and hops with 24.65 mg/kg). Furthermore the definition of parent alone corresponds to the already established definition relevant in most countries (EU and others), in which tolylfluanid is registered. This holds also true for the US (import tolerances) and JMPR (Codex MRLs). With respect to refined dietary risk assessments, the residue definition should include both parent compound and DMST as well as two further metabolites in the special case of grapes. | of tolylfluanid and DMST were on equal levels in melons, however at levels about the LOQ of 0.02 mg/kg. In strawberries, the amount of DMST were generally clearly less than of tolylfluanid, but were at equal levels with tolylfluanid in few cases. This is considered to be due to the fact of that picked strawberries tend to produce sap, in which tolylfluanid degrades to DMST. The studies of nature of the residue indicated that tolylfluanid degrades well by hydrolysis and the metabolite DMST is formed. However, the studies on the effects on residue levels demonstrated that the levels of the sum of tolylfluanid and metabolites, relevant for risk assessment, clearly decrease during processing in most commodities relevant for human consumption. Concentration was observed only in wine, raisin and tomato paste. The DMST is not considered to be toxicologically significant. Thus RMS agrees with the notifier that the plant residue definition for monitoring purposes should be parent only. | |

| | Caluman A | Column B | Calcuracy | Column D |
|-----|------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|---|
| | Column A | Column B | Column C | Column D |
| No. | Conclusions of the EFSA | Comments from the main data | Rapporteur Member State comments | Recommendations EPCO-Peer Review |
| | Evaluation Meeting | submitter / applicant on the EFSA | on main data submitter / applicant | Meeting / Conclusions of the Evaluation |
| | | Evaluation Meeting conclusion | comments | Meeting |
| | Open point 3.5: | | 08.11.2004 | EPCO 10 (0607.07.2004): |
| | RMS to check whether the | | | |
| | methods of analysis for DMST | | Methods of analysis in plant matrices | Open point still open. |
| | in plant matrices are | | are presented in the DAR in point B.5.2. | Open point still open. |
| | sufficiently validated. | | DFG S 19 and DFG S 8 methods were | |
| | | | validated only for parent compounds. | Evaluation Meeting (0910.02.2005): |
| | This new open point was | | The point B.5.2, however, contains a multitude of methods validated for | |
| | proposed at the EPCO 10 | | parent and DMST metabolite for | Open point closed. |
| | meeting. | | various plants. | |
| | | | It would be the task of the notifier to | EFSA is of the opinion that the point is |
| | | | decide whether to validate DFG | fulfilled whereas the RMS is of the opinion |
| | | | methods also for DMST metabolite or to | that the point is not fulfilled. |
| | | | check the other submitted methods and | The concerns of the RMS will be pointed |
| | | | conduct necessary further minor | out in the EFSA conclusion. |
| | | | validations (e.g. ILV and confirmation | |
| | | | methods) so that the requirements are | |
| | | | met. | |
| | | | The RMS wants still retain the original | |
| | | | residue definition referring parent only | |
| | | | for monitoring purposes and the sum of | |
| | | | tolylfluanid and DMST for the dietary | |
| | | | risk assessment due to the following | |
| | | | reasons: | |
| | | | a)The residue definition of parent | |
| | | | compound only is in compliance with | |
| | | | current guidelines | |
| | | | b)The establishment of MRLs in | |
| | | | processed commodities has not been | |
| | | | decided on within the EC and may | |
| | | | concern commodities only which the | |
| | | | processing procedure requires | |
| | | | concentration and not dilution steps | |

| | Column A | Column B | Column C | Column D |
|-----|--|---|---|---|
| No. | Conclusions of the EFSA | Comments from the main data | Rapporteur Member State comments | Recommendations EPCO-Peer Review |
| | Evaluation Meeting | submitter / applicant on the EFSA Evaluation Meeting conclusion | on main data submitter / applicant comments | Meeting / Conclusions of the Evaluation Meeting |
| | continued | Evaluation Meeting Conclusion | | Weeting |
| | Open point 3.5: | | c)The residue definition for the purpose of dietary risk assessment already | |
| | RMS to check whether the | | includes DMST | |
| | methods of analysis for DMST | | d) The residue definition for tolylfluanid | |
| | in plant matrices are | | for enforcement purposed is set as | |
| | sufficiently validated. | | parent compound only e.g. by the | |
| | | | Codex Alimentarius, US EPA, Australian APVMA and in many of the | |
| | This new open point was | | EU Member States. | |
| | proposed at the EPCO 10 meeting. | | | |
| | Open point 3.6: | | 8.11.2004 | EPCO 10 (0607.07.2004): |
| | RMS to revise the list of end | | 0.11.2001 | <u> </u> |
| | points in accordance with the | | RMS has revised the list of end points. | Open point still open. |
| | agreements of the EPCO 10 | | • | Open point suii open. |
| | meeting (Metabolism in plant and livestock; Stability of | | | |
| | residues; Residues from | | | Evaluation Meeting (0910.02.2005): |
| | livestock feeding studies; | | | Open point fulfilled. |
| | Summary of critical residues | | | Open point runned. |
| | data; Consumer risk | | | |
| | assessment; Processing factors; Proposed MRLs) | | | |
| | lactors, i roposca ivirtes) | | | |
| | This new open point was | | | |
| | proposed at the EPCO 10 | | | |
| | meeting. | | | |
| | | | | |

section 4 - Environmental fate an behaviour

4. Environmental fate and behaviour

| | | 0.1 | | 0.1 |
|-----|---|--|--|--|
| No. | Column A Conclusions of the EFSA Evaluation Meeting | Column B Comments from the main data submitter / applicant on the EFSA Evaluation Meeting conclusion | Column C Rapporteur Member State comments on main data submitter / applicant comments | Column D Recommendations EPCO-Peer Review Meeting / Conclusions of the evaluation group |
| | Section 4 Data requirements: 0 Open points: 65 | | | Section 4 Data requirements: 0 Open points: 65 |
| | Open point 4.1: RMS to provide an addendum to the draft assessment report on the use of mean DT50 values for the PECsoil calculations and the impact with respect the use of worst case. (Vol. 1, 2.5.2.3 - see reporting table 4(2)) | | 30.4.2004: The addendum will be provided before the expert meeting. 8.11.2004: The notifier has recalculated the PECsoil values using the worst case DT50 values. The recalculated values have been included in the addendum and in the list of end points. | EPCO 7 (22. – 23.06.2004): The RMS has to recalculate the PEC soil values using the worst case DT50 values for tolylfluanid and DMST (tables B.8.3-2 – B.8.3-5) .and amend the tables B8.3-2 according to the data presented in the excel spread sheet (add information on the moment when the highest value have been achieved). Open point still open Evaluation Meeting (0910.02.2005): Open point fulfilled. |
| | Open point 4.2: Appropriateness of DT50 sediment DMST used for the calculations to be discussed in an expert meeting. (Vol. 1, level 2, 2.5.3.3 - see reporting table 4(3)) | BCS will provide an explanatory statement in May. | 30.4.2004: New information will be included in the addendum. | EPCO 7 (22. – 23.06.2004): Open point closed. |

section 4 – Environmental fate an behaviour

| No. | Column A Conclusions of the EFSA Evaluation Meeting Open point 4.3: MS to discuss whether the DT50 value and the method employed for the PECsw calculation is acceptable in an expert meeting. (Vol. 1, 2.5.3.3 - see reporting table 4(4)) | Column B Comments from the main data submitter / applicant on the EFSA Evaluation Meeting conclusion BCS will provide an explanatory statement in May. | Column C Rapporteur Member State comments on main data submitter / applicant comments 30.4.2004: New information will be included in the addendum 8.11.2004: The notifier has recalculated the PECsw values using the worst case DT50 values. The recalculated values have been included in the addendum | Column D Recommendations EPCO-Peer Review Meeting / Conclusions of the evaluation group EPCO 7 (22. – 23.06.2004): The RMS has to recalculate the PEC surface water values using the worst case DT50 of 6 hours for the parent and 75.8 days for the metabolite. Open point still open. |
|-----|--|--|--|---|
| | | | and in the list of end points. | Evaluation Meeting (0910.02.2005): Open point fulfilled. |
| | Open point 4.7: The TER values have to be recalculated on the basis of the new PEC surface water values. This open point was proposed at the EPCO 7 meeting | | 8.11.2004: The notifier has recalculated the TER values on the basis of the new PECsw values. The recalculated values have been included in the addendum and in the list of end points. | EPCO 7 (22. – 23.06.2004): Open point still open. Evaluation Meeting (0910.02.2005): Open point fulfilled. |

section 4 - Environmental fate an behaviour

| No. | Column A Conclusions of the EFSA Evaluation Meeting Open point 4.8: | Column B Comments from the main data submitter / applicant on the EFSA Evaluation Meeting conclusion | Column C Rapporteur Member State comments on main data submitter / applicant comments 8.11.2004: | Column D Recommendations EPCO-Peer Review Meeting / Conclusions of the evaluation group EPCO 7 (22. – 23.06.2004): |
|-----|---|--|--|--|
| | The list of end points has to be amended according to the PEC surface water values. This open point was proposed at the EPCO 7 meeting | | The list of end points has been amended. | Open point still open. Evaluation Meeting (0910.02.2005): Open point fulfilled. |
| | Open point 4.9: The list of end points has to be amended according to the TER values in the ecotox section. This open point was proposed at the EPCO 7 meeting | | 8.11.2004: The list of end points has been amended. | EPCO 7 (22. – 23.06.2004): Open point still open. Evaluation Meeting (0910.02.2005): Open point fulfilled. |
| | Open point 4.4: The need of additional DT50 values for the degradation of tolylfluanid in soil to be discussed in an expert meeting. (Vol. 3, B.8.1.3 - see reporting table 4(8)) | DT50 values were calculated from data from 4 soils. Without doubt, the fit of the curves is not very good. 1st order kinetics may be not appropriate for description of the degradation curve when a compound decreases very fast at the very beginning of the study as tolylfluanid does. Nevertheless 1st order kinetic degradation rates were calculated since they are required for modelling. However, regardless of the correlation | 30.4.2004: RMS agrees with the notifier. | EPCO 7 (22. – 23.06.2004): Open point fulfilled. |

section 4 – Environmental fate an behaviour

| | Column A | Column B | Column C | Column D | | | | |
|-----|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| No. | Conclusions of the EFSA Evaluation Meeting | Comments from the main data submitter / applicant on the EFSA | Rapporteur Member State comments on main data submitter / applicant | Recommendations EPCO-Peer Review Meeting / Conclusions of the evaluation group | | | | |
| | Evaluation Meeting | Evaluation Meeting conclusion | comments | | | | | |
| | continued Open point 4.4: The need of additional DT50 values for the degradation of tolylfluanid in soil to be discussed in an expert meeting. (Vol. 3, B.8.1.3 - see reporting table 4(8)) | coefficient of the kinetic curves, experimental data for all 4 soils clearly show a DT50 ≤1 day. | | | | | | |
| | Open point 4.5: RMS to amend the list of end points clarifying that the Koc values for the adsorption of tolylfluanid in soil is estimation. (Vol. 3, B.8.2.1 - see reporting table 4(9)) | - | 30.4.2004: The list of end points has been amended. | EPCO 7 (22. – 23.06.2004): Open point fulfilled. | | | | |
| | Open point 4.6: RMS to amend the list of end points regarding the leaching properties of degradation products (half-life value to be added to the list of end points). (Vol. 3, B.8.2.2.2 - see reporting table 4(11)) | - | 30.4.2004: The list of end points has been amended. | EPCO 7 (22. – 23.06.2004): Open point fulfilled. | | | | |

5. Ecotoxicology

| No. | Column A Conclusions of the EFSA Evaluation Meeting Section 5 Data requirements: 1 | Column B Comments from the main data submitter / applicant on the EFSA Evaluation Meeting conclusion | Column C Rapporteur Member State comments on main data submitter / applicant comments | Column D Recommendations EPCO-Peer Review Meeting / Conclusions of the evaluation group Section 5 Data requirements: 1 |
|-----|---|--|--|---|
| | Open points: 7 Open point 5.1: The conclusions from the addendum regarding the risk assessment for birds to be discussed in an expert meeting. (Vol.1, 2.6.1 - see reporting table 5(2)) | | 8.11.2004: The notifier provided a refined risk assessment in August 2004 supporting the PT of < 0.6. This data, however, was submitted after the EPCO 8 meeting and according to EFSA cannot be taken into account for the risk refinement. Therefore the risks are calculated using the PT of 1 in a revised addendum. In connection with the assessment of secondary poisoning of birds it is now stated in an addendum which distance between application area and water body was taken into account. | Open points: 7 EPCO 8 (2223.06.2004): Open point still open. RMS should check if there are data in support of the proposed PT value of 0.6 available. If not the RMS should change the PT-value to 1 in a revised addendum. In connection with the assessment of secondary poisoning of birds it should be clearly stated which distance between application area and water body was taken into account. A buffer zone is needed to protect aquatic life! Open point was amended at the EPCO 8 Meeting. Evaluation Meeting (0910.02.2005): Open point fulfilled. |

| No. | Column A Conclusions of the EFSA Evaluation Meeting | Column B Comments from the main data submitter / applicant on the EFSA Evaluation Meeting conclusion | Column C Rapporteur Member State comments on main data submitter / applicant comments | Column D Recommendations EPCO-Peer Review Meeting / Conclusions of the evaluation group |
|-----|--|--|---|---|
| | Open point 5.2: The conclusions from the addendum regarding the risk assessment for mammals to be discussed in an expert meeting. (Vol. 1, 2.6.3 - see reporting table 5(4)) | | 8.11.2004: The NOEC for mammals is amended in the list of endpoints. A revised long term risk assessment for mammals based on a NOAEL of 12 (the decision of EPCO 9) instead of 9 mg/kg bw is updated in an addendum. Open point is still open, because there is long-term risk for mammals. | EPCO 8 (2223.06.2004): Open point still open. The NOEC for mammals should be amended in the list of endpoints. A revised long term risk assessment for mammals based on a NOAEL of 9 mg/kg bw should be made available in an addendum. Open point was amended at the EPCO 8 Meeting. See new data requirement 5.2 Evaluation Meeting (0910.02.2005): RMS provided the addendum. Open point fulfilled. |

| | Column A | Column B | Column C | Column D | | | | |
|-----|--|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| No. | Conclusions of the EFSA Evaluation Meeting | Comments from the main data submitter / applicant on the EFSA Evaluation Meeting conclusion | Rapporteur Member State comments on main data submitter / applicant comments | Recommendations EPCO-Peer Review Meeting / Conclusions of the evaluation group | | | | |
| 5.1 | Notifier to submit new acute toxicity test with zebrafish at different pH-values. (Vol.1, Appendix 3 - see reporting table 5(6)) | Acute toxicity of Tolylfluanid WG 50 to fish (Danio rerio) at different pH-values of test water under static conditions by M Dorgerloh, report no. DOM 23100 of 2004-02-05 is available. | RMS evaluates the study and the Addendum will be provided before the expert meeting. | EPCO 8 (2223.06.2004): Data requirement fulfilled. | | | | |
| | Open point 5.3: The TER revisions for aquatic organisms to be discussed in an expert meeting. (Vol. 1, appendix 3 - see reporting table 5(7)) | The notifier likes to highlight that an extensive data base was generated in order to assess the effects on aquatic organisms, e.g. sensitivity distribution on fish, indoor microcosm study under more realistic exposure conditions, fish outdoor microcosm study, aquatic invertebrate laboratory studies and aquatic invertebrate outdoor microcosm study, pH-dependent toxicity in fish (most sensitivity species), independent expert statement on aquatic toxicity of tolylfluanid. | RMS agrees that all the data needed for risk assessment was provided. While there is no clear guidance available on TER values calculated from mesocosm studies, RMS is open for discussion with other member states in EPCO-Peer Review Meeting. RMS is still at the moment of the opinion that the proposed TER in the DAR is protective enough. 8.11.2004: For the outdoor microcosm study with rainbow trout, an uncertaintity factor of 5 was agreed in the EPCO 8 meeting. It is inserted in the list of endpoints. The NOEC HC5 value is deleted from the list of endpoints. | EPCO 8 (2223.06.2004): Open point still open. List of endpoints should be revised: The NOEC HC5 value should be deleted from the list of endpoints and the TER calculations including different buffer zones have to be recalculated and inserted in the list of endpoints Open point was amended at the EPCO 8 Meeting. Evaluation Meeting (0910.02.2005): Open point fulfilled. EPCO 8 (2223.06.2004): Open point still open. RMS to redraft the risk assessment for aquatic organisms taking into account the outcome of the EPCO expert meeting. RMS to include the outcome of the meeting to the list of end points (NOEAEC | | | | |
| | Open point 5.4: The relevant endpoint of an outdoor microcosm study to be discussed in an expert meeting. (Vol. 3, B.9.2.10 - see reporting table 5(18)) | BCS used recognised guidance on how to evaluate outdoor microcosm studies. According to this, the NOEAEC of this study is 99 µg/L based on recovery within an eight weeks period. The use of a low assessment factor is considered appropriate based on all of the available aquatic data, the | RMS agrees with the applicant with the NOEAEC of 99 µg/L but prefers the assessment factor of 3 instead of 1.5 (see the explanation in the reporting table No. 20). 8.11.2004: | | | | | |

| | Column A | Column B | Column C | Column D |
|-----|--|---|--|---|
| No. | Conclusions of the EFSA | Comments from the main data | Rapporteur Member State comments | Recommendations EPCO-Peer Review |
| | Evaluation Meeting | submitter / applicant on the EFSA | on main data submitter / applicant | Meeting / Conclusions of the evaluation |
| | | Evaluation Meeting conclusion | comments | group |
| | continued | employed exposure regime in the | The endpoint of 99 µg/L with the | of 99 μg/L with an uncertainty factor of 3-5) |
| | Open point 5.4: | outdoor microcosm study which is considered appropriate for the | uncertaintity factor of 3-5 is included in | |
| | The relevant endpoint of an | proposed GAP of tolylfluanid (highly | the list of endpoints. | Open point was amended at the EPCO 8 |
| | outdoor microcosm study to | instable in water) and based on the use | | Meeting. |
| | be discussed in an expert | of worst case initial PECs. BCS | | |
| | meeting. | recommends an assessment factor of | | Evaluation Meeting (0910.02.2005): |
| | (Vol. 3, B.9.2.10 - see reporting table 5(18)) | 1.5 (to be applied to the study endpoint | | |
| | | in order to extrapolate to lower pH | | Open point fulfilled. |
| | | ranges in natural water bodies). | | |
| | | | | |
| 5.2 | Notifier to refine the risk | | <u>8.11.2004:</u> | EPCO 8 (2223.06.2004): |
| | assessment for mammals | | Open point is still open. | Data requirement still open. |
| | | | | |
| | Data requirement was | | | Evaluation Meeting (0910.02.2005): |
| | proposed in the EPCO 8 | | | |
| | meeting. | | | Data requirement still open. |
| | Name and a sink 5.5 | | 0.44.0004 | FD00 0 (00, 00 00 0004); |
| | New open point 5.5: | | 8.11.2004: | EPCO 8 (2223.06.2004): |
| | The remark that cucumber | | Safe uses supported for cucumber and | Open point still open. |
| | and zucchini represent a safe use should be deleted from | | zucchini are greenhouse use only. | |
| | the list of intended uses. | | | Evaluation Meeting (0910.02.2005): |
| | the list of interface ases. | | | |
| | New open point was proposed | | | Open point fulfilled. |
| | in the EPCO 8 meeting. | | | |
| | in the Li GG o meeting. | | | |
| | | | | |

| | Column A | Column B | Column C | Column D |
|-----|--|---|---|---|
| No. | Conclusions of the EFSA Evaluation Meeting | Comments from the main data submitter / applicant on the EFSA Evaluation Meeting conclusion | Rapporteur Member State comments on main data submitter / applicant comments | Recommendations EPCO-Peer Review Meeting / Conclusions of the evaluation group |
| | New open point 5.6: Classification and labelling should be included in the list of endpoints indicating that it is agreed already by ISPRA. New open point was proposed in the EPCO 8 meeting. | | 8.11.2004: Classification and labelling is included in the list of endpoints. | EPCO 8 (2223.06.2004): Open point still open. Evaluation Meeting (0910.02.2005): Open point fulfilled. |
| | New open point 5.7: Pending on the PECsw to be recalculated in the fate and behaviour section due to the new water/sediment study at 20°C, a revision of the aquatic risk assessment may be necessary. New open point was proposed in the EPCO 8 meeting. | | 8.11.2004: PEC _{sw} were recalculated (but there was no new water/sediment study!) and new TERs were accordingly updated when necessary and presented in the addendum. | EPCO 8 (2223.06.2004): Open point still open. Evaluation Meeting (0910.02.2005): Open point fulfilled. |

List of representative uses

List of representative uses evaluated - Tolylfluanid / Euparen M WG 50

| | presenta | ative us | CS CV | aluateu - 10 | ıyını | aiiiu / | / Euparen M WG 50 | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------|--------------|-------------|----------------------------|-------|-------------|-------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------|-----|
| Crop and/ or situation | Member State | Product name | F G | Pests or Group of pests | Form | ulation | | Application | | | | Application rate per treatment | | | |
| | or Country | | or I | controlled | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (a) | Journal | | (b) | (c) | Туре | Conc. of as | method kind | growth stage & season | number min max | interval between applications | kg as/ha min max | water L/ha min max | kg as/ha min max | (1) | (m) |
| | | | | | (d-f) | (i) | (f-h) | (j) | (k) | (min) | (n) | IIIII IIIda | IIIII IIIQX | | |
| Apples/Pears NE Euparen F VENTIN WG 500 SPI / 7 7 - 14 0.15 - max. 1500a - 1.125 7 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Apples/Pears | NE SE | Euparen M | F | VENTIN VENTPI | wu | 300 | SRU | / | 3 | 7 - 14 7 - 14 | 0.13 - 0.2 - | max. 1500 a | - 1.123 - 1.5 | 3 | |
| Grapes | NE SE | Euparen M | F F | BOTRCI PLASVI | WG | 500 | SPI / SRU | / | 8 3 | 10 – 14 8 – 19 | 0.225 – 0.4 - | max. 1600 ^b 100 – 1000 | up to 1.8 up to 2.0 | 35 21 | |
| Strawberries | NE SE | Euparen M | F F | BOTRCI SPHRMA | WG | 500 | SPI | / | 3 3 | 8 - 12 7 - 10 | / | 300 – 2000 800 – 1000 | 2.5 1.25 | 7 3 | |
| Rasp- and Blackberries | NE | Euparen M | F F | BOTRCI SPHRMA | WG | 500 | SPI | / | 4 | 8 – 10 | / | 500 – 1500 | 1.7 | 14 | |
| Currants / Gooseberries | NE | Euparen M | F F | BOTRCI SPHRMA | WG | 500 | SPI | / | 2 | 14 | / | 500 – 1000 | 1.25 | 14 | |
| Tomatoes | NE SE - | Euparen M | F F G | ALTESO BOTRCI PHYTIN | WG | 500 | SPI | / | 6 3-4 4-6 | 8-10 $7-10$ $8-10$ | 0.2 0.3 0.2 | 300 – 1200 1000 max. 1500° | up to 1.2 up to 1.5 up to 1.5 | 3 3 3 | |
| Peppers | - | Euparen M | G | ALTESO BOTRCI | WG | 500 | SPI | / | 3 | 7 | 0.2 | max. 1500 ^d | 1.3 | 3 | |
| Cucumber / Zucchini | NE - | Euparen M | G | PSECU SPHRFU | WG | 500 | SPI | / | 6 6 | 10 8 – 11 | 0.2 0.2 | 600 max. 1500 ^e | 0.6 up to 1.5 | 3 | |
| Melons | NE SE | Euparen M | F F | ALTECU PSECU | WG | 500 | SPI | / | 3 3 | 10 10 | / | 300 300 | 1.25 1.25 | 14 14 | |
| Head Lettuce | NE SE | Euparen M | F F | BREMLA BOTRCI | WG | 500 | SPI | / | 6 3 | 5 10 – 17 | / | 600 1000 | 0.6 1.0 | 21 7 | |
| Leeks | NE | Euparen M | F | РНҮТРО | WG | 500 | SPI | / | 5 | 14 | / | 600 | 1.25 | 21 | |

* Uses for which the risk assessment can not be concluded are marked grey.

Evaluation table TolyIfluanid (Ac/Fu) <u>EU RESTRICTED</u> 16497/EPCO/BVL/04 rev. 1-1 (09.03.05) 31/31

List of representative uses

| Hops | *NE | Euparen | F | BOTRCI | WG | 500 | SPI / | / | 6 | 8 - 24 | 0.2 - | 1000 - 3000 | up to 3.0 | 14 | |
|------|-----|---------|---|--------|----|-----|-------|---|---|--------|-------|-------------|-----------|----|--|
| | | M | | PSPEHU | | | SRU | | | | | | | | |

Remarks:

- (a) For crops, the EU and Codex classifications (both) should be used; where relevant, the use situation should be described (*e.g.* furnigation of a structure)
- (b) Outdoor or field use (F), glasshouse application (G) or indoor application (I)
- (c) e.g. biting and suckling insects, soil born insects, foliar fungi, weeds
- (d) e.g. wettable powder (WP), emulsifiable concentrate (EC), granule (GR)
- (e) GCPF Codes GIFAP Technical Monograph No 2, 1989
- (f) All abbreviations used must be explained
- (g) Method, e.g. high volume spraying, low volume spraying, spreading, dusting, drench
- (h) Kind, e.g. overall, broadcast, aerial spraying, row, individual plant, between the plants type of equipment used must be indicated

SPI = spraying

SRU = low volume spraying

- ^a: SPI: max. 1500 l/ha with 500 l/ha/m; SRU: max. 500 l/ha with 167 l/ha/m
- b: SPI: 1600 l/ha; SRU: 333 l/ha
- c: SPI: max. 1500 l/ha with 1000 l/ha/m
- d: SPI: max. 1500 l/ha with 1000 l/ha/m
- e: SPI: max. 1500 l/ha with 750 l/ha/m

- (i) g/kg or g/l
- Growth stage at last treatment (BBCH Monograph, Growth Stages of Plants, 1997, Blackwell, ISBN 3-8263-3152-4), including where relevant, information on season at time of application
- (k) The minimum and maximum number of application possible under practical conditions of use must be provided
- (l) PHI minimum pre-harvest interval
- (m) Remarks may include: Extent of use/economic importance/restrictions
- (n) product concentration of spray liquid